

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/811,617	BLANCHON, CHARLES-GUILLAUME
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. (3) _____

(2) Kilton L. Kime. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

that of record

Claims discussed:

that of record.

Prior art documents discussed:

that of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ex. Eashoo proposed an examiners amdt. which would place other claims in line with the new limitations added to claim 15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 15 (11/07/04) are persuasive. Mr. Kime approved the amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)